This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

OTHER:





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/891,545	06/27/2001	Dominique Chantrain	Q64735 1856			
75	7590 09/10/2004			EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			KLINGER, SCOTT M			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
washington, D	C 20037-3213		2153	2153		
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/891,545		CHANTRAIN ÉT AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Scott M. Klinge		2153			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2001.						
2a) <u></u>	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) 🛛 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/27/01, 4/15/04</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:		O-152)		

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-9 are pending.

Priority

A claim for foreign priority has been made. The effective filing date for the subject matter in the application is 30 June 2000.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poisson et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,765,591, hereinafter "Poisson") in view of Provino (U.S. Patent Number 6,557,037, hereinafter "Provino").

In referring to claims 1, 8, and 9, Poisson shows substantial features of the claimed invention, including a user registered in a Network Access Server as already connected to a Virtual Private Network (VPN): Poisson, Figs. 1 and 2 show users connected to a VPN.

However, Poisson does not show connecting to a device outside of the VPN through a logical channel. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Poisson as evidenced by Provino.

In analogous art, Provino discloses a system and method for easing communications between devices connected respectively to public networks such as the Internet and to private networks by facilitating resolution of human-readable addresses. Provino shows sending messages belonging to a communication between a user and a communication

Art Unit: 2153

device over a logical channel between a Network Access Server and said communication device: "Establishment of a secure tunnel can be initiated by device 12(m) external to the virtual private network 15 ... If the device 12(m) is authorized to access a server 31(s) in the virtual private network 15, the client 12(m) and firewall 30 engage in a dialog, comprising one or more message packets transferred therebetween over the Internet 14." (Provino, col. 9, lines 46-60)

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Poisson so as to send messages belonging to a communication between said user and a communication device over a logical channel, such as taught by Provino, in order "to access other devices outside of the virtual private network" (Provino, col. 9, lines 8-9) and limit access to the VPN "in a controlled manner" (Provino, col. 9, line 13).

In referring to claim 2, Poisson in view of Provino shows,

 Detecting at said Network Access Server a message from said user destined to said communication device; and forwarding said message from said Network Access Server to said communication device over the logical channel referring to the identifier of said Virtual Private Network:

"The virtual private network has a firewall, at least one internal device and a nameserver each having a network address. The internal device also has a secondary address, and the nameserver is configured to provide an association between the secondary address and the network address. The firewall, in response to a request from the external device to establish a connection therebetween, provides the external device with the network address of the nameserver." (Provino, col. 3, lines 1-9)

In referring to claim 3, Poisson in view of Provino shows,

Detecting a message from said communication device being received at said
 Network Access Server on the logical channel referring to the identifier of a
 Virtual Private Network, said message containing a user destination address;

Art Unit: 2153

determining a user registered in said Network Access Server as already connected to said Virtual Private Network and corresponding to said destination address; and forwarding said message from said Network Access Server to said user:

The external device, in response to a request from an operator or the like, including the internal device's secondary address, requesting access to the internal device, generates a network address request message for transmission over the connection to the firewall requesting resolution of the network address associated with the secondary address. The firewall provides the address resolution request to the nameserver, and the nameserver provides the network address associated with the secondary address to the firewall. The firewall, in turn, provides the network address in a network address response message for transmission over the connection to the external device. The external device can thereafter use the network address so provided in subsequent communications with the firewall intended for the internal device." (Provino, col. 3, lines 9-23)

In referring to claim 4, Poisson in view of Provino shows,

 Said messages belonging to the communication between said user and said communication device are encapsulated in data packets, said data packets comprising a field containing said identifier of said host Virtual Private Network or an indication derived of said identifier.

"The device 12(m) can store in its IP parameter store 25 information concerning the secure tunnel, including information associating the identification of the firewall 30 and the identifications of the encryption and decryption algorithms and associated keys for message packets to be transferred over the secure tunnel." (Provino, col. 10, lines 7-12)

In referring to claim 5, Poisson in view of Provino shows,

 Said messages belonging to the communication between said user and said communication device are sent over a tunnel having said identifier of said host Virtual Private Network as tunnel identifier:

Art Unit: 2153

Provino, col. 10, lines 7-12 (see full quote above)

In referring to claim 6, Poisson in view of Provino shows,

Said messages contain IP packets comprising an IP address of said user:

"The message packets transferred over the Internet 14 conform to that defined by the so-called Internet protocol "IP" and include a header portion, a data portion, and may include a error detection and/or correction portion." (Provino, col. 3, lines 62-66)

In referring to claim 7, Poisson in view of Provino shows substantial features of the claimed invention, including the system of claim 1 (see 103 rejection above). However, Poisson in view of Provino does not explicitly show that the external communication device belongs to a separate VPN. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Poisson in view of Provino.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of implementing the system of Poisson in view of Provino so as to connect to an external device belonging to another VPN, in order "to access other devices outside of the virtual private network" (Provino, col. 9, lines 8-9) and limit access to the VPN "in a controlled manner" (Provino, col. 9, line 13).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Klinger whose telephone number is (703) 305-8285. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2153

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott M. Klinger Examiner Art Unit 2153

smk

GZENTON B. BURGESS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100